

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/647,013	08/21/2003	L. Pernille Olesen	POULaksel APP 1473		
7590 01/16/2004			EXAMINER		
Poulsen Roser ApS 620 South Front Street			HWU, JUNE		
Central Point, OR 97502			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1661		
•			DATE MAILED: 01/16/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Astion Communication	10/647,013		OLESEN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		June Hwu		1661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status	Decreasing to communication (-) filed an							
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _							
•		This action is non-fir						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requir	ement.					
Application Papers								
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) $igtiim$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 August 2003</u> is/are: a) $igcap$ accepted or b) $igtiim$ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed Certified copies of the priority documed C	ents have been rec ents have been rec	eived. eived in Applicatio	n No	tage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.								
Attachment	(s)							
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary (F	PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
2) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) 🔲	Notice of Informal Pat Other: Rule 105 requi	ent Application (PTO-1				

Art Unit: 1661

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

An Official Draftsman has approved the drawings.

35 USC § 102

In the European Community, the cultivar Poulaksel is described in the Plant Breeder's Right (PBR) application number 19990065 filed on January 29, 1999 and published on April 15, 1999. In addition there is a second European Plant Breeder's Right application number 20000291 filed on February 24, 2000 and published on April 14, 2000. The PBR grant number 7939 was published on October 15, 2001. The applications and grant were published more than one year prior to filing of this instant application. The grant and applications are each "printed publications" under 35 U.S.C. 102 because they are accessible to persons concerned with the art to which the document relates. See *In re Wyer*, 655 F.2d 221,226,210 USPQ 790,794 (CCPA 1981). See also MPEP § 2128. Every two months the Community Plant Variety Office publishes an Official Gazette containing all the information appearing in its Registers, such as applications for protection, proposals for variety denomination and grants of title. Other information the CPVO feels important to the public may also be published in the Gazette. Thus information regarding the claimed variety, in the form of the publications noted above, was readily available to interested persons of ordinary skill in the art.

A printed publication can serve as a statutory bar under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) if the reference, combined with knowledge in the prior art, would enable one of ordinary skill in the art to reproduce the claimed plant. *In re Le Grice*, 301 F.2d 929,133 USPQ 365 (CCPA 1962). If one skilled in the art could reproduce the plant from a publicly available source, then a publication describing the plant would have an enabling disclosure. See *Ex parte Thomson*, 24 USPQ2d 1618, 1620 (Bd.

Art Unit: 1661

Page 3

Pat. App. & Inter. 1992) ("The issue is not whether the [claimed] cultivar Siokra was on public use or sale in the United States but, rather, whether 'Siokra' seeds were available to a skilled artisan anywhere in the world such that he/she could attain them and make/reproduce the cultivar Siokra disclosed in the cited publications.").

While the publications cited above disclose the claimed plant variety, a question remains as to whether the references are enabling. If the plant was publicly available, then the application or granted PBR certificate, combined with knowledge in the prior art, would enable one of ordinary skill in the art to reproduce the claimed plant. The ability of the Office to determine whether the claimed plant was publicly available is limited. Search of electronic databases, the Internet and the Office's collection of retail catalogs have not revealed any evidence that the claimed plant was on sale anywhere in the world. However, the Office's collection of retail catalogs is not comprehensive. Furthermore, the claimed plant may have been sold at the wholesale level, sold under a different name, or even distributed to interested parties free of charge. Since the inventor and assignee of the instant application are in a better position to know when, if ever, the claimed plant was made publicly available, the Examiner is requiring this information in the attached Requirement for Information Under 37 CFR 1.105.

This Office action has an attached requirement for information under 37 CFR 1.105. A complete reply to this Office action must include a complete response to the attached requirement for information. The time period for reply to the attached requirement coincides with the time period for reply to this Office action.

In order to consider whether a 102(b) rejection should be applied, the Examiner is requesting information pertaining to the claimed cultivar.

Objection to the Disclosure

Art Unit: 1661

37 CFR 1.163

The following is a quotation of section (a) of 37 CFR 1.163:

(a) The specification must contain as full and complete a disclosure as possible of the plant and the characteristics thereof that distinguish the same over related known varieties, and its antecedents, and must particularly point out where and in what manner the variety of plant has been asexually reproduced. In the case of a newly found plant, the specification must particularly point out the location and character of the area where the plant was discovered.

35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

In plant application filed under 35 U.S.C. 161, the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112 are limited.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

As specific to United States Plant Patent applications, the specifics of 37 CFR 1.164 (reproduced below) are controlling:

The claim shall be in formal terms to the new and distinct variety of the specified plant as described and illustrated, and may also recite the principal distinguishing characteristics. More than one claim is not permitted.

In plant applications filed under 35 U.S.C. 161, the requirements of 35 U.S.C. are limited. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 162:

Art Unit: 1661

No plant patent shall be declared invalid for noncompliance with section 112 of this title if the description is as complete as is reasonably possible. The claim in the specification shall be in formal terms to the plant shown and described.

The disclosure is objected to under 37 CFR 1.163(a) because the specification presents less than a full and complete botanical description and the characteristics which distinguish over related known varieties.

More specifically:

- A. Page 3, lines 12-16, Applicants describe the rose development of the claimed cultivar in 1995 then on page 3, lines 17-19 applicants describe the selection on the claimed plant in 1994. The progeny seed cannot be selected before the development of the claimed plant.
- B. Page 5, line 22, there appears to be a discrepancy on the claimed plant parents.
 Applicants describe the seed parent of the claimed plant as "POULdiram" but on page 1, line
 15-16, 'Pouldiram' is described as the pollen parent. Clarification is necessary.
- C. Page 6, line 4, the recitation "Long" is vague and does not sufficiently describe the bud form of the claimed plant. Terms such as oval, oblong, etc. should be imported.
- D. If available, applicants should set forth in the specification a brief description of the seeds, such as shape, size and color designation.
- E. Applicant should set forth in the specification the average size of the leaflet (length and width).

The above listing may not be complete. Applicants should carefully compare the claimed plant with the botanical descriptions set forth in the specification to ensure

Art Unit: 1661

completeness and accuracy and to distinguish the plant within this expanding market class.

Any further botanical information should be imported into the specification, as should any additional or corrected information relative to same.

Claim Rejection

35 USC § 112, 1st and 2nd Paragraphs

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs as not being supported by a clear and complete botanical description of the plant for reasons set forth in the Objection to the Disclosure Section above.

Amendments in a Revised Format is Now Mandatory

Compliance to the revision to 37 CFR 1.121 is mandatory. Complete details to the revised amendment format can be found on the Internet at http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/com/sol/og/2003/week08/patform.htm.

Future Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to June Hwu whose telephone number is (571) 272-0977. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

ANNE MARIE GRUNDERG

Applicant and the assignee of this application are required under 37 CFR 1.105 to provide the

following information that the examiner has determined is reasonably necessary to the

examination of this application.

The information is required to determine when, if ever, the claimed plant variety, 'Poulaksel',

was publicly available prior to the filing date of the instant application.

In response to this requirement please provide any information available regarding the sale or

other public distribution of the claimed plant variety anywhere in the world, including the date(s)

of any sale or other public distribution. Also, please provide copies of the applications and

published Breeder's Right grant cited in the attached Office Action. The Office does not

maintain a collection of Breeders' Rights documents and they are not readily obtainable

electronically. Since the assignee of the instant application is listed by UPOV as applicant,

breeder and titleholder of the granted Breeder's Right, it is reasonable to expect that Applicant

or the assignee can readily obtain the requested documents and information.

The fee and certification requirements of 37 CFR 1.97 are waived for those documents

submitted in reply to this requirement. This waiver extends only to those documents within the

scope of this requirement under 37 CFR 1.105 that are included in the applicant's first complete

communication responding to this requirement. Any supplemental replies subsequent to the

first communication responding to this requirement and any information disclosures beyond the

Art Unit: 1661

scope of this requirement under 37 CFR 1.105 are subject to the fee and certification

requirements of 37 CFR 1.97.

The applicant is reminded that the reply to this requirement must be made with candor and good

faith under 37 CFR 1.56. Where the applicant does not have or cannot readily obtain an item of

required information, a statement that the item is unknown or cannot be readily obtained will be

accepted as a complete response to the requirement for that item.

This requirement is an attachment of the enclosed Office action. A complete reply to the

enclosed Office action must include a complete response to this requirement. The time period

for reply to this requirement coincides with the time period for reply to the enclosed Office

action, which is 3 months.

ANNE MARIE GRUNBERG
PATENT EXAMINER

Page 8